arXiv:cond-mat/0201389v2 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 5 Mar 2002

# Berry phase and quantized Hall effect in three-dimension

Jun Goryo[*] and Mahito Kohmoto[**]

*The Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa-no-ha, Kashiwa, Chiba, 277-8581, Japan*

(Received February 1, 2008)

KEYWORDS: Berry phase, quantum Hall effect in three dimension, Bloch electron, topology

Berry recognized that in quantum mechanics a geometrical phase (Berry phase) arises from the adiabatic process of a system around a closed loop in the parameter space.[1] Thus, it is essentially the Aharonov-Bohm effect[2] in the parameter space. It has been shown that the topological formula for the Hall conductivity of two-dimensional Bloch electrons[3,4] can be written in terms of the Berry phase.[5] We demonstrate that the above argument is generalized in the three dimensional systems. The Berry phase we consider here is induced by the adiabatic change of the time-dependent vector potential, which represents the electric field as well as the magnetic field. Throughout this note, we use the unit $\hbar = c = 1$.

We consider Bloch electrons in a uniform magnetic field in three dimensions. When we consider the "rational flux" introduced in Ref. 6, we can choose one of the primitive vectors of the Bravais lattice $\mathbf{c}$ parallel to the magnetic field, and also we can find the other two $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$. Then, we take the magnetic field

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{v_0}\frac{2\pi}{e}\frac{p}{Q}\mathbf{c}, \tag{1}$$

where $v_0 = \mathbf{a}\cdot(\mathbf{b}\times\mathbf{c})$, $p$ and $Q$ are integers and $0 < Q$. The system has the magnetic translation symmetry, and in this case, we can choose the magnetic unit cell with the primitive vectors $\mathbf{a}$, $Q\mathbf{b}$, and $\mathbf{c}$. Let $\mathbf{G}_a = (2\pi/v_0)(\mathbf{b}\times\mathbf{c})$, $\mathbf{G}_b = (2\pi/v_0)(\mathbf{c}\times\mathbf{a})$ and $\mathbf{G}_c = (2\pi/v_0)(\mathbf{a}\times\mathbf{b})$ stand for the primitive vectors of the reciprocal lattice. Then, the magnetic Brillouin zone (MBZ) is written as,

$$\mathbf{k} = f_1\mathbf{G}_a + (f_2/Q)\mathbf{G}_b + f_3\mathbf{G}_c;\ 0 < f_1, f_2, f_3 < 1. \tag{2}$$

For the magnetic translation symmetry, the wavefunction is written in the Bloch form

$$\psi^n_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}u^n_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}), \tag{3}$$

where $u^n_{\mathbf{k}}$ has a property

$$u^n_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}') = e^{i\mathbf{R}'\cdot\mathbf{A}}u^n_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}), \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{R}' = l\mathbf{a} + mQ\mathbf{b} + n\mathbf{c}$ $(l, m, n;$ integer$)$ and $\mathbf{A}$ is the vector potential for the electromagnetic field.

It has been shown that, when the Fermi energy is located in the energy gap, the Hall conductivity for the three-dimensional Bloch electrons is written as

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi^2}\varepsilon_{ijk}G_k,$$
$$\mathbf{G} = -(t_a\mathbf{G}_a + t_b\mathbf{G}_b + t_c\mathbf{G}_c) \tag{5}$$

where $i, j, k = x, y, z$ The coefficients $t_a$, $t_b$ and $t_c$ are the topological numbers and take integer values.[6] For example, $t_c$ is written as

$$t_c = \sum_{n'\leq n}\int_0^1 df_3\sigma_c^{(n')}(f_3)$$
$$\sigma_c^{(n)}(f_3) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{S(f_3)} d^2k\,[\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}\times\mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{k})]\cdot\frac{\mathbf{c}}{|\mathbf{c}|}, \tag{6}$$

where we introduce the vector field defined by,

$$\mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{k}) = < u^n_{\mathbf{k}}|\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}|u^n_{\mathbf{k}} > . \tag{7}$$

The suffices $n$ and $n'$ are the band indices and the summation is taken over all the bands below the Fermi energy. The integral $\int_0^1 df_3\int_{S(f_3)} d^2k$ shows the $\mathbf{k}-$integral over the MBZ. The expression of $\sigma_c^{(n)}(f_3)$ is the Chern number of the fiber bundle defined on $S(f_3)$, i.e. two-torus, whose connection is $\mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{k})$. It becomes integer and does not depend on $f_3$ when the $n$-th band does not cross the Fermi level. The detailed discussion can be seen in Ref. 4 and Ref. 6. It can be shown that the other integers $t_a$ and $t_b$ are also equivalent to the Chern number as the same manner.

Following Ref. 5, we derive the Berry phase of this system. We consider the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{\partial\Psi(t)}{\partial t} = \left[\frac{1}{2m}(-i\nabla + e\mathbf{A}(t))^2 + U(\mathbf{r})\right]\Psi(t), \tag{8}$$

where $U(\mathbf{r}) = U(\mathbf{r} + l\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{b} + n\mathbf{c})$ and $\mathbf{A}(t)$ is the time-dependent vector potential for the electromagnetic field. Therefore, we consider not only the uniform magnetic field Eq. (1), but also the electric field. We use the adiabatic approximation. It means that we introduce a weak electric field. According to Berry[1] and by using the Bloch form Eq. (3), the wave function of the electron for the $n$-th band at time $t$ can be written with a phase $\gamma_n(t)$ as

$$\Psi(t,\mathbf{r}) = \exp\left[-i\int_0^t dt' E_{n\mathbf{k}}(t')\right]\exp\left[i\gamma_n(t)\right]e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}u^n_{\mathbf{k}}(t,\mathbf{r}), \tag{9}$$

where the function $u^n_{\mathbf{k}}(t,\mathbf{r})$ and $E_{n\mathbf{k}}(t)$ are obtained by solving the instantaneous eigenvalue equation

---

[*] E-mail; jfg@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp

[**] E-mail; kohmoto@issp.u-tokyo.ac.jp

$$H_{\mathbf{k}}(t)u^n_{\mathbf{k}}(t,\mathbf{r}) = \left[\frac{1}{2m}(-i\nabla + \mathbf{k} + e\mathbf{A}(t))^2 + U(\mathbf{r})\right] u^n_{\mathbf{k}}(t,\mathbf{r})$$
$$= E_{n\mathbf{k}}(t)u^n_{\mathbf{k}}(t,\mathbf{r}). \qquad (10)$$

The time-dependent part of the vector potential is written as $-\mathbf{E}t$. Substitute Eq. (9) into Eq. (8) and use Eq. (10), then $\gamma_n(t)$ is obtained as

$$\gamma_n(t) = \int_0^t dt' \left\langle u^n_{\mathbf{k}}(t') \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \right| u^n_{\mathbf{k}}(t') \right\rangle$$
$$= \int_0^t dt' \left\langle u^n_{\mathbf{k}-e\mathbf{E}t'} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t'} \right| u^n_{\mathbf{k}-e\mathbf{E}t'} \right\rangle. \qquad (11)$$

In order to consider the Berry phase, a Hamiltonian must go around a closed loop in a parameter space in adiabatic process. The Hamiltonian $H_{\mathbf{k}}(t)$ as it is not have this property. However, it is possible to compactify it into the magnetic Brillouin zone as $H_{f_1+1,f_2,f_3}(t) \sim H_{f_1,f_2+1,f_3}(t) \sim H_{f_1,f_2,f_3+1}(t) \sim H_{f_1,f_2,f_3}(t)$ , where $f_1$, $f_2$ and $f_3$ parameterize $\mathbf{k}$ as Eq. (2). Therefore, in the case that $\mathbf{E}//\mathbf{G}_a$, $\mathbf{E}//\mathbf{G}_b$ and $\mathbf{E}//\mathbf{G}_c$, Berry phases are obtained from Eq. (11) as

$$\Gamma^{(n)}_a(f_2,f_3) = i\int_0^1 df_1 \mathbf{G}_a \cdot \mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{k}),$$
$$\Gamma^{(n)}_b(f_3,f_1) = i\int_0^1 df_2 \mathbf{G}_b \cdot \mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{k}), \qquad (12)$$
$$\Gamma^{(n)}_c(f_1,f_2) = i\int_0^1 df_3 \mathbf{G}_c \cdot \mathbf{a}_n(\mathbf{k}),$$

respectively. By using the Stokes theorem in Eq. (6), $t_c$ can be written in terms of Berry phase as

$$t_c = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{n'\leq n}\int_0^1 df_3 \times \qquad (13)$$
$$\left[\int_0^1 df_1 \frac{d}{df_1}\Gamma^{(n')}_b(f_3,f_1) - \int_0^1 df_2 \frac{d}{df_2}\Gamma^{(n')}_a(f_2,f_3)\right],$$

where $\Gamma^{(n')}_b(f_3,f_1)$ is the Berry phase for the band $n'$ below the Fermi energy induced by the electric field along $\mathbf{G}_b$. The symbol $\sum_{n'\leq n}$ denotes that the summation is taken over all the bands below the Fermi energy. Similarly, we can show that $t_a$ and $t_b$ are also written in terms of the Berry phases as

$$t_a = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{n'\leq n}\int_0^1 df_1 \times \qquad (14)$$
$$\left[\int_0^1 df_2 \frac{d}{df_2}\Gamma^{(n')}_c(f_1,f_2) - \int_0^1 df_3 \frac{d}{df_3}\Gamma^{(n')}_b(f_3,f_1)\right],$$
$$t_b = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{n'\leq n}\int_0^1 df_2 \times \qquad (15)$$
$$\left[\int_0^1 df_3 \frac{d}{df_3}\Gamma^{(n')}_a(f_2,f_3) - \int_0^1 df_1 \frac{d}{df_1}\Gamma^{(n')}_c(f_1,f_2)\right].$$

Therefore, three-dimensional quantized Hall conductivity is closely related to the Berry phase. This result is the 3D generalization of that which was pointed out in Ref. 5.

The authors thank Masatoshi Sato and Nobuki Maeda for useful discussions.

---

1) M. V. Berry, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **392** 45 (1984).
2) Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Phys. Rev. **115** 485 (1959)
3) D. J. Thouless, M. Kohmoto, P. Naightingale and M. den Nijs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49** 405 (1982).
4) M. Kohmoto, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) **160** 355 (1985).
5) M. Kohmoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **62** 659 (1993).
6) M. Kohmoto, B. I. Halperin and Y. S. Wu, Phys Rev B. **45**, 13488 (1992), and references therein.